JOSHUA M. HOROWITZ, State Bar No. 186866

KATRINA C. GONZALES, State Bar No. 258412

BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1011 Twenty-Second Street
Sacramento, California 95816-4907
Telephone: (916) 446-4254
Facsimile: (916) 446-4018
E-Mail: imh@bkslawfirm.com

BRUCE C. CLINE, State Bar No. 130554
CITY ATTORNEY

CITY ATTORNEY
CITY OF FOLSOM
50 Natoma Street
Folsom, California 95630

Attorneys for Plaintiff, CITY OF FOLSOM

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY OF FOLSOM, a California Charter City,

Plaintiff,

| vs.

ALL PERSONS IN ANY WAY
INTERESTED IN THE MATTER OF THE
VALIDITY OF THAT CERTAIN
AGREEMENT ENTITLED "WATER
SUPPLY AND FACILITIES PLAN AND
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
FOLSOM AND CERTAIN
LANDOWNERS IN THE FOLSOM PLAN
AREA,"

Defendants.

CASE NO. 34-2013-00138798

[PRQPOSED] JUDGMENT VALIDATING WATER SUPPLY AGREEMENT

8586/P052713jmh Validation Judgment

This matter came before this Court upon the application of the plaintiff, CITY OF FOLSOM ("Folsom"), for a default judgment based on the verified Complaint to Validate Agreement for a Water Supply ("Complaint") filed by plaintiff on January 23, 2013. The Application was filed by Folsom's attorneys, Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan, a professional corporation, by Joshua M. Horowitz. The Court, having satisfied itself that the Application was appropriately supported by declarations and other admissible documentary evidence, did not order a hearing in this matter and is prepared to issue judgment on Folsom's written application in accordance with subdivisions (c) and (d) of Code of Civil Procedure section 585 and Code of Civil Procedure 870, and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1800.

It appears that this action was commenced on January 23, 2013, and that proof has been introduced fully supporting all of the allegations of the Complaint and all proceedings sought to be validated and confirmed herein, and, therefore, the Court finds as follows:

- (1) The Complaint with exhibits as filed herein and the summons issued thereon comply with the requirements of the provisions of Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 860) of Title 10 of Part 2 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, and with the requirements of all other pertinent provisions of law; and that this action was properly and timely filed by Folsom under those authorities.
- (2) As required by this Court's Orders for Publication and Posting of Summons, the summons, as issued and approved by the Court: (a) was published in *The Sacramento Bee* once a week for three successive weeks, namely on March 6, 2013, March 13, 2013, and March 20, 2013, as set forth in the Proof of Publication on file in this action; and (b) was properly posted by Folsom, as set forth in the Affidavit of Posting, City Hall and Library, on file in this action.

1.4

- (3) Notice of the pendency of this proceeding has been given as required by law and by the order of this Court and, in accordance with the provisions of the summons jurisdiction of this Court in this matter is complete.
- (4) With the exception of Plaintiff Folsom, no party has appeared in this proceeding. Specifically, no party has appeared to contest Folsom's entitlement to the judgment that it seeks.
- (5) The Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine the matters alleged and prayed for in the Complaint at this time and without further notice.
- (6) All the allegations of the Complaint are true and sustained by competent proof, including the verified Complaint.
- (7) The agreement attached as Exhibit A to the Complaint, entitled WATER SUPPLY AND FACILITIES PLAN AND AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF FOLSOM AND CERTAIN LANDOWNERS IN THE FOLSOM PLAN AREA (the "Water Supply Agreement") is lawful, valid, enforceable and in the best interests of Folsom and all persons in any way interested therein. In addition, the Water Supply Agreement is consistent with all applicable laws and obligations, including the Measure W Water Supply Requirement, Resolution No. 8457 protecting water conserved from Folsom's pre-1914 water rights water supplies, and Folsom's commitments in the 2000 Water Forum Agreement. Further, all proceedings leading up to, and including, the authorization of the execution, the approval and the ratification of the execution of the Agreement were carried out and conducted in conformity and in compliance with the all applicable laws and enactments at any time in force and controlling upon or applicable to said proceedings.

///

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as

follows:

All proceedings leading up to, and including, Folsom's authorization and execution of the Agreement have been taken and performed in all respects, substantive and procedural, as required by law. The Court hereby approves, confirms and validates each, and all of said proceedings are hereby approved, confirmed and validated. The Court further adjudges that the Water Supply Agreement has been validly executed, that each and all of its provisions are consistent with all applicable laws and obligations, including (a) the Measure W Water Supply Requirement, (b) Resolution No. 8457 protecting water conserved from Folsom's pre-1914 water rights water supplies; and (c) Folsom's commitments in the Water Forum Agreement, and that Water Supply Agreement is lawful, valid, and enforceable. In accordance with the foregoing, the Court hereby makes and enters its order, judgment and decree approving, confirming and declaring valid and forever binding and conclusive upon Folsom and all defendants each and all provisions of the Water Supply Agreement and all proceedings leading to its approval and execution.

JUDGMENT IS SO ENTERED.

Dated: 0CT 1 6 2013 .2013

By:/

É OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

RAYMOND M. CADEL

8586/P052713jmh Validation Judgment